

SPLITTING CRITERIA FOR VECTOR BUNDLES ON HIGHER DIMENSIONAL VARIETIES

PARSA BAKHTARY

ABSTRACT. We generalize Horrocks' criterion for the splitting of vector bundles on projective space. We establish an analogous splitting criterion for vector bundles on a class of smooth complex projective varieties of dimension ≥ 4 , over which every extension of line bundles splits.

1. INTRODUCTION

In algebraic geometry there is a rich history of studying when a vector bundle over a projective space splits, i.e. is isomorphic to a direct sum of line bundles. Grothendieck first used cohomological methods in sheaf theory to prove his celebrated theorem which says that every vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}^1$ splits as a direct sum of line bundles [10]. This was followed by Horrocks' famous criterion, which announced that a vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n$, $n \geq 3$, splits iff its restriction to a hyperplane $H = \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ splits [14].

Soon after came the notoriously difficult conjectures of Hartshorne [13], which state that all vector bundles of rank 2 on $\mathbb{P}^n$ with $n \geq 7$ must split, though no non-splitting (indecomposable) 2-bundle over $\mathbb{P}^5$ is known. The Horrocks-Mumford bundle is the only non-splitting 2-bundle known on $\mathbb{P}^4$ [15], and its existence is far from automatic. Hartshorne's conjecture is naturally generalized by stating that r -bundles on $\mathbb{P}^n$ ought to split for $r \ll n$, although there are no guesses in the literature how large n must be relative to r .

There has been a formidable body of work dedicated to finding splitting criteria and constructing indecomposable bundles over projective space, and the well-known but out of print book by Okonek, Heinz, and Spindler [21] gives an excellent survey of progress made in this direction up until 1980. There has also been much work since then, with many notable results [1], [3], [16], [17], [20], [23], [24], [26]. In addition to splitting criteria for r -bundles on multiprojective spaces [5], [7], cones over rational normal curves [2], and blowings up of the plane [4], extensions of Horrocks' criterion to Grassmannians and quadrics have been established [1], [22], not to mention splitting criteria for 2-bundles on hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$ [19] and on general sextic threefolds [6]. Moreover, notions such as uniform vector bundles have been generalized to Fano manifolds [25].

However, to the author's knowledge, the literature lacks a study of when a Horrocks' type criterion occurs on arbitrary smooth projective varieties. The spirit in which we

pursue this question is similar to that of Horrocks': when can we reduce the splitting of a vector bundle E on a smooth projective variety X to the splitting of the restriction $E|_Y$ for a suitable proper closed subscheme $Y \subset X$? Horrocks showed that as soon as the dimension of a projective space is at least three, the splitting of a vector bundle on that projective space is equivalent to the splitting of its restriction to a hyperplane. In this scenario the restriction map $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}(H)$ is an isomorphism, so the line bundles on the subscheme H are precisely those coming from $\mathbb{P}^n$, no more, no less. Thus if $E|_H$ splits, we already have a suitable candidate on $\mathbb{P}^n$ that E ought to be isomorphic to, should it split. The dimension of the hyperplane being at least 2 is crucial, since any non-splitting bundle must split when restricted to a line $\mathbb{P}^1$ by Grothendieck's theorem.

We remedy this issue for higher dimensional varieties using the Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorem on Picard groups, which says that if X is a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 4$, then given any ample effective divisor D (not necessarily reduced) on X , then the natural restriction map $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(D)$ is an isomorphism. In this way, we ensure that the line bundles on our divisor D are precisely those coming from X , as in Horrocks' situation with projective space. Then, assuming $E|_D$ splits over D , our task is to try to lift a given isomorphism $E|_D \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus L_{i|D}$ to one on X , or to find the obstruction to such a lifting.

Though this lifting does not exist in general, it can be found on a certain class of varieties. We call a scheme X a *splitting scheme* if $H^1(X, L) = 0$ for every line bundle L on X . A splitting scheme is just like projective $n \geq 2$ space in the sense that every extension of line bundles splits. Here a Horrocks' type criterion holds.

Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a smooth projective splitting variety of dimension $n \geq 4$. A vector bundle E on X splits iff $E|_Y$ splits over Y , where $Y \subset X$ is an ample effective codimension 1 splitting scheme.*

1.1. Acknowledgements. The author would like to express his warmest gratitudes to his advisor, J. Włodarczyk, for his guidance and direction, and to J. Wiśniewski for helpful and informative emails. The author would also like to give a heartfelt thanks to N. Mohan Kumar, who spotted an error in the first version of this paper and told the author of a class of examples of non-splitting bundles that restrict to split bundles.

2. GROUNDWORK

Nearly all of the results we use are familiar to a seasoned student of algebraic geometry, and can be found throughout [11]. In this section we mention some of the deeper theorems that are relevant to the proofs in the next section. We first state Horrocks' criterion in its full form.

Theorem 2.1 (Horrocks). *Let E be a rank r vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then E splits iff $H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, E(k)) = 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and every i with $0 < i < n$.*

Proof. See [14] or [21]. □

Corollary 2.2 (Horrocks). *Let E be a rank r vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^n$, with $n \geq 3$. Then E splits iff its restriction $E|_H$ to a hyperplane $H \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ splits.*

Thus, by induction it suffices to find a plane $P \cong \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ such that $E|_P$ splits.

Recall the formal completion of X along a closed subscheme Z defined by the ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is the ringed space $(\hat{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}})$ whose topological space is Z and whose structure sheaf is $\varprojlim (\mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{I}^m)$. Given a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X we define the completion of $\mathcal{F}$ along Z , denoted $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ to be the sheaf $\varprojlim (\mathcal{F} / \mathcal{I}^m \mathcal{F})$ on Z , which has the natural structure of an $\mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}$ -module.

Our most important gadget is the Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorem on Picard groups. We do not require the most general version.

Theorem 2.3 (Grothendieck). *Let D be an ample effective (not necessarily reduced) divisor on a smooth complex projective variety X of dimension $n \geq 4$. Then the natural restriction map $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(D)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. See [9] or [12]. □

In conjunction with II, Ex. 9.6 in [11], we have the following chain of natural isomorphisms for any positive integer m :

$$\text{Pic}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}(\hat{X}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varprojlim \text{Pic}(mD) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}(mD) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}(D)$$

whose composition is the natural restriction map isomorphism mentioned in the theorem.

We will also need the Kodaira vanishing theorem.

Theorem 2.4 (Kodaira). *Suppose L is an ample line bundle on a smooth complex projective variety X of dimension n . Then*

$$H^i(X, L^{-1}) = 0$$

for each $i < n$.

Proof. See [18] for a modern algebraic proof. □

3. ARBITRARY VARIETIES

We first study the splitting behavior of a vector bundle restricted to the formal completion of a projective manifold along an ample effective divisor, and show this is equivalent to the splitting of the bundle itself.

Proposition 3.1. *Let X be a smooth complex projective variety of dimension $n \geq 4$, and let E be a vector bundle of rank r on X . Then E splits over X iff $\hat{E}$ splits over $\hat{X}$, where $\hat{X}$ is the completion of X along an ample effective divisor D .*

Proof. For the forward direction, suppose E splits over X , i.e. $E \cong \bigoplus L_i$. Then $\hat{E} \cong \bigoplus \hat{L}_i$, and each $\hat{L}_i$ is a line bundle on $\hat{X}$.

For the other direction, suppose that $\hat{E}$ splits as a direct sum of line bundles on $\hat{X}$. Then since

$$\mathrm{Pic}(X) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(\hat{X}) \cong \varprojlim \mathrm{Pic}(mD) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(mD) \cong \mathrm{Pic}(D)$$

we must have that $\hat{E} \cong \bigoplus \hat{L}_i$, for some line bundles L_i on X . Set $F := \bigoplus L_i$. Tensoring the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-mD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{mD} \rightarrow 0$$

with $F^* \otimes E \cong \mathcal{H}om(F, E)$ we obtain

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-mD) \otimes F^* \otimes E \rightarrow F^* \otimes E \rightarrow F_{|mD}^* \otimes E_{|mD} \rightarrow 0$$

Choosing $m \gg 0$ and using Serre duality plus the fact that $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$ is ample we can force $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-mD) \otimes F^* \otimes E) = 0$ and we get a surjection

$$\mathrm{Hom}(F, E) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(F_{|mD}, E_{|mD})$$

We can lift a given isomorphism $\varphi : F_{|mD} \xrightarrow{\sim} E_{|mD}$ (this is just our original isomorphism $\hat{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{E}$ restricted to a finite thickening mD) to a homomorphism $\psi : F \rightarrow E$ on X . The bundles E and F have the same rank and first Chern class, the latter because $\mathcal{O}_{mD} \cong \det E_{|mD} \otimes \det F_{|mD}^* \cong \mathcal{O}_X(c_1(E) - c_1(F))_{|mD}$ implies that $\mathcal{O}_X(c_1(E)) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(c_1(F))$ on X since the restriction map $\mathrm{Pic}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Pic}(mD)$ is an isomorphism. Thus,

$$\det \psi \in \mathrm{Hom}(\det F, \det E) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(c_1(E) - c_1(F))) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

is a nonzero constant since ψ restricts to an isomorphism on mD . Hence ψ is invertible. $\square$

Remark 3.2. This proposition illustrates that, in the above setting, if $E_{|D}$ splits on a sufficiently positive divisor D on X , then E must split over X . One possible approach is to make positivity assumptions on D in terms of the Chern classes of E .

4. SPLITTING SCHEMES

We begin with the definition of a splitting scheme, which captures a cohomological feature of line bundles on projective $n \geq 2$ space, and give some examples.

Definition 4.1. A scheme X is called a *splitting scheme* if $\text{Ext}^1(L, M) = 0$ for any line bundles L, M on X . Equivalently, any extension of line bundles splits, or equivalently, $H^1(X, L) = 0$ for any line bundle L on X .

Remark 4.2. Notice that for a smooth projective variety to be a splitting scheme, its dimension must be at least two. For a curve we would have by Serre duality that $H^1(C, L^{-1}) \cong H^0(C, L \otimes \omega_C) \neq 0$ for a sufficiently ample line bundle L on C .

Example 4.3. Clearly projective space $\mathbb{P}^n$ with $n \geq 2$ satisfies the definition.

Example 4.4. If X and Y are splitting schemes with $\text{Pic}(X \times Y) \cong \text{Pic}(X) \times \text{Pic}(Y)$, e.g. if X and Y are projective varieties with $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$, then using the Künneth formula we see that the fiber product $X \times Y$ remains a splitting scheme. In particular, multiprojective spaces $P = \mathbb{P}^{n_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{P}^{n_k}$ with each $n_i \geq 2$ are splitting schemes.

Example 4.5. Weighted projective spaces $W = \mathbb{P}(a_0, \dots, a_n)$ with $n \geq 2$ are splitting schemes, see [8] Section 2.

Example 4.6. Any global complete intersection $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ of dimension $n \geq 3$ is necessarily a splitting scheme, since the Lefschetz theorem on Picard groups implies that $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and we know that $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m)) = 0$ for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, see [12] Chapter IV Section 3 and [11] III Ex. 5.5(c).

Example 4.7. Let X be a smooth projective splitting variety, as in Examples 4.3, 4.4, or 4.6 above. Let E be a direct sum of $r \geq 4$ line bundles on X , and consider the projectivized space bundle $P := \mathbb{P}(E) \xrightarrow{\pi} X$, where $\mathbb{P}(E) = \text{Proj}(\text{Sym}(E))$. We claim that P is a splitting scheme as well. We already know that P is a smooth projective variety with $\text{Pic}(P) \cong \mathbb{Z} \cdot \mathcal{O}_P(1) \oplus \text{Pic}(X)$. Thus, any line bundle on P is isomorphic to one of the form $M := \mathcal{O}_P(m) \otimes \pi^*L$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and L is a line bundle on X .

Since the fibers of π are all isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^{r-1}$ with $r-1 \geq 3$, we have that $R^i \pi_* M = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ and so the Leray spectral sequence implies that $H^1(P, M) \cong H^1(X, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_P(m) \otimes L)$. For $m < 0$ we have $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_P(m) = 0$ and for $m \geq 0$ we have $\pi_* \mathcal{O}_P(m) = S^m(E)$, which for each $m \geq 0$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of line bundles on X since E is a direct sum of line bundles on X . Hence the right hand side $H^1(X, \pi_* \mathcal{O}_P(m) \otimes L) = 0$ since X is a splitting scheme and the sheaf in question is a direct sum of line bundles on X , and hence we see that $P = \mathbb{P}(E)$ is also a splitting scheme.

Proposition 4.8. *Let X be a smooth projective splitting variety of dimension $n \geq 4$. Then a vector bundle E on X splits iff*

- (1) *there exists an ample effective divisor D on X such that $E|_D$ splits over D , and*
- (2) *$H^1(X, E \otimes L) = 0$ for every line bundle L on X .*

Proof. To show necessity, suppose that $E \cong \bigoplus L_i$ on X , where L_i are line bundles on X . Then for any closed subscheme, $Z \subset X$, $E|_Z \cong \bigoplus L_i|_Z$ splits as well, showing (1). The assumption that X is a splitting scheme gives (2).

We show sufficiency. By (1) we have an isomorphism $\varphi : \bigoplus M_i \xrightarrow{\sim} E|_D$, where M_i are line bundles on D . The Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorem tells us that the restriction map gives an isomorphism $\text{Pic}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}(D)$ of Picard groups. Lift each M_i on D uniquely (up to isomorphism) to L_i on X and set $F := \bigoplus L_i$. Now tensor the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0$$

with $F^* \otimes E \cong \mathcal{H}om(F, E)$ and take cohomology to get an exact sequence

$$H^0(X, F^* \otimes E) \rightarrow H^0(Y, F|_D^* \otimes E|_D) \rightarrow H^1(X, F^* \otimes E \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) = 0$$

where the third vector space vanishes by (2). Thus we have a surjection

$$\text{Hom}(F, E) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Hom}(F|_D, E|_D)$$

so we may lift our isomorphism φ to a homomorphism $\psi : F \rightarrow E$, and we claim ψ is an isomorphism. First, observe that E and F have the same rank and the same first Chern class since $\mathcal{O}_X(c_1(E) - c_1(F))|_D \cong \mathcal{O}_D$ implies that $\det(F) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(c_1(F)) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(c_1(E)) \cong \det(E)$ because of the aforementioned isomorphism on Picard groups. Then ψ induces $\det(\psi) : \det(F) \rightarrow \det(E)$ which gives a section

$$\det(\psi) \in H^0(X, \det(F)^{-1} \otimes \det(E)) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(c_1(E) - c_1(F))) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \cong \mathbb{C}$$

which means that $\det(\psi)$ is multiplication by a constant. But $\det(\psi)$ restricts to an isomorphism $\det(\varphi)$ on D , hence must be a non-zero constant and hence invertible, thus showing that ψ is indeed an isomorphism. $\square$

Remark 4.9. From the proof one sees that the sufficiency holds for arbitrary smooth projective varieties of dimension ≥ 4 , but the assumption that X is a splitting scheme gives necessity.

The following corollary is a generalization of Horrocks' criterion for projective $n \geq 3$ space.

Corollary 4.10. *Let X be a smooth projective splitting variety of dimension $n \geq 4$. A vector bundle E on X splits iff $E|_Y$ splits over Y , where $Y \subset X$ is an ample effective codimension 1 splitting scheme.*

Proof. We show the nontrivial direction. Assuming $E|_Y$ splits over Y , we see that condition (1) of Proposition 2.8 is immediately satisfied, so it suffices to check condition (2). Let L be any line bundle on X , tensor the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0$$

with $E \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(mY)$, and take cohomology to get

$$H^1(X, E \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X((m-1)Y)) \rightarrow H^1(X, E \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(mY)) \rightarrow H^1(Y, E|_Y \otimes L|_Y \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(mY)|_Y)$$

exact. The third term vanishes for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ since Y is a splitting scheme and $E|_Y$ splits as a sum of line bundles, hence $H^1(Y, E|_Y \otimes M) = 0$ for any line bundle M on Y . So we have surjections

$$H^1(X, E \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X((m-1)Y)) \twoheadrightarrow H^1(X, E \otimes L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(mY))$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Taking m to be sufficiently small and using Serre duality, we can make the left hand side zero since $\mathcal{O}_X(Y)$ is ample, and the surjections above imply that the cohomology must vanish for all integers m . In particular, taking $m = 0$ we have that $H^1(X, E \otimes L) = 0$. Since L was arbitrary, we have shown condition (2), which completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 4.11. As pointed out by N. Mohan Kumar, if we assume that $H^i(X, L) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ and for every line bundle L on X , then this implies that any ample effective divisor D on X is automatically a splitting scheme. Tensoring the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_D \rightarrow 0$$

with a line bundle L on X and taking cohomology we get

$$\dots \rightarrow H^1(X, L) \rightarrow H^1(D, L|_D) \rightarrow H^2(X, L \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(-D)) \rightarrow \dots$$

The outside terms vanish by assumption, and every line bundle on D is isomorphic to one of the form $L|_D$, for some line bundle L on X by the Grothendieck-Lefschetz theorem. Hence D is a splitting scheme by definition. Constructing examples of a variety X with $H^i(X, L) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ is analogous to constructing splitting schemes as in Examples 4.3, 4.4, 4.6, and 4.7.

We finish with two examples whose details are easy to check.

Example 4.12. Consider the quadric surface $S := \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3 =: X$ inside $\mathbb{P}^3$ via the Segre embedding. This is an ample surface with $\mathcal{O}_X(S) = \mathcal{O}_X(2)$, $\mathcal{O}_S(-S) = \mathcal{O}_S(-1, -1)$, and $\Omega_S \cong \mathcal{O}_S(-2, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(0, -2)$. Taking $E := \Omega_X$ to be the rank 3 cotangent bundle on $X = \mathbb{P}^3$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-1, -1) \rightarrow \Omega_{X|S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(-2, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(0, -2) \rightarrow 0$$

The obstruction to this short exact sequence splitting lies in

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_S}^1(\mathcal{O}_S(-2, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(0, -2), \mathcal{O}_S(-1, -1)) \cong H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(1, -1)) \oplus H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-1, 1)) = 0$$

by the Künneth formula. Hence

$$E|_S = \Omega_{X|S} \cong \mathcal{O}_S(-2, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(-1, -1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_S(0, -2)$$

splits on a smooth ample surface S in $X = \mathbb{P}^3$, but $E = \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}$ itself does not split over $\mathbb{P}^3$ since $H^1(\mathbb{P}^3, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^3}) \cong \mathbb{C} \neq 0$.

Example 4.13. Take $Y := \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^2 \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^2 =: X$ defined by the ideal $\mathcal{O}_X(-1, 0)$. Then $\mathcal{O}_X(Y) = \mathcal{O}_X(1, 0)$ is nef but not ample. Letting $E := p_1^* \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}$, we see that E is a nonsplitting rank 2 vector bundle on X , since by the Künneth formula $H^1(X, L) = 0$ for any line bundle L on X , so if E were to split we must have $H^1(X, E) = 0$. However, by the same formula we see that $H^1(X, E) \cong \mathbb{C} \neq 0$, so E does not split over X . But,

$$E|_Y \cong q_1^*(\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2|\mathbb{P}^1}) \cong \mathcal{O}_Y(-2, 0) \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(-1, 0)$$

splits over Y .

REFERENCES

- [1] Ancona, V., Peternell, T., Wiśniewski, J. *Fano bundles and splitting theorems on projective spaces and quadrics* Pacific J. Math. **163** (1994), no. 1, 17-42.
- [2] Ballico, E. *A splitting criterion for vector bundles on cones over rational normal curves*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. **47** (2008), no. 4, 577-580.
- [3] Ballico, E. *A splitting criterion for rank 2 vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^n$* , Pacific J. Math. **169** (1995), no. 1, 51-52.
- [4] Ballico, E., Malaspina, F. *A splitting criterion for vector bundles on blowing ups of the plane*, Int. Math. Forum **3** (2008), no. 21-24, 1099-1105.
- [5] Ballico, E., Malaspina, F. *Regularity and cohomological splitting conditions for vector bundles on multiprojective spaces*, preprint, arXiv:0802.0960.
- [6] Chiantini, L., Madonna, C. *A splitting criterion for rank 2 bundles on a general sextic threefold*, Internat. J. Math. **15** (2004), no. 4, 341-359.
- [7] Costa, L., Miró-Roig, R. M. *Cohomological characterization of vector bundles on multiprojective spaces*, J. Algebra **294** (2005), no. 1, 73-96.
- [8] Dolgachev, I. *Weighted projective varieties*, Group actions and vector fields (Vancouver, B.C., 1981), 34-71, Lecture Notes in Math., **956**, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [9] Grothendieck, A. *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux*, (SGA 2), Advanced Stud. in Pure Math, vol. 2, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [10] Grothendieck, A. *Sur la classification des fibrés holomorphes sur la sphère de Riemann*, Amer. J. Math. **79** (1957), 121-138.
- [11] Hartshorne, R. *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
- [12] Hartshorne, R. *Ample Subvarieties of Algebraic Varieties*, Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 156, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [13] Hartshorne, R. *Varieties of small codimension in projective space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1974), 1017-1032.
- [14] Horrocks, G. *Vector bundles on the punctured spectrum of a local ring*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **14** (1964), 689-713.
- [15] Horrocks, G., Mumford, D. *A rank 2 vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^4$ with 15,000 symmetries*, Topology **12** (1973), 63-81.
- [16] Kumar, N. M., Peterson, C., Rao, A. P. *Monads on projective spaces*, Manuscripta Math. **112** (2003), no. 2, 183-189.
- [17] Kumar, N. M., Peterson, C., Rao, A. P. *Construction of low rank vector bundles on $\mathbb{P}^4$ and $\mathbb{P}^5$* , J. Algebraic Geom. **11** (2002), no. 2, 203-217.
- [18] Lazarsfeld, R. *Positivity in Algebraic Geometry I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [19] Madonna, C. *A splitting criterion for rank 2 vector bundles on hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^4$* , Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino **56** (1998), no. 2, 43-54.

- [20] Malaspina, F. *A few splitting criteria for vector bundles*, Ric. Mat. **57** (2008), no. 1, 55-64.
- [21] Okonek, C., Schneider, M., Spindler H. *Vector bundles on complex projective spaces*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 3, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1980.
- [22] Ottaviani, G. *Some extensions of Horrocks criterion to vector bundles on Grassmannians and quadrics*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **155** (1989), 317-341.
- [23] Ran, Z. *On projective varieties of codimension 2*, Invent. Math. **73** (1983), no. 2, 333-336.
- [24] Sumihiro, H., Tagami, S. *A splitting theorem for rank two vector bundles on projective spaces in positive characteristic*, Hiroshima Math. J. **31** (2001), no. 1, 51-57.
- [25] Wiśniewski, J. *Uniform vector bundles on Fano manifolds and an algebraic proof of Hwang-Mok characterization of Grassmannians*, Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000), 329-340, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [26] Zak, F. L. *Tangents and secants of algebraic varieties*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **127**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993. viii+164 pp.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, 150 NORTH UNIVERSITY STREET, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907-2067